



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,264	04/08/2004	John P. Mullally	MUJ-104-A-1	7507

7590 02/13/2009  
Arnold S. Weintraub  
The Weintraub Group, P.L.C.  
Suite 240  
32000 Northwestern Highway  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334

EXAMINER
----------

WESTERBERG, NISSA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1618

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/13/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/820,264	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MULLALLY, JOHN P.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nissa M. Westerberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 1618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nissa M. Westerberg. (3) Arnold Weintraub.

(2) Jake Vu. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fleisch et al (US 5,998,454 and secondary references used in the 103 rejections).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amendment to the independent claim was discussed and how the combination of all three active ingredients is different from the cited prior art, particularly in reagrds to the C-reactive protein level.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618	/Nissa M. Westerberg/ Art Unit 1618
---	--